



**United Nations
Conference
on Trade and
Development**

Distr.
GENERAL

TD/B/COM.2/EM.10/1
3 September 2001

Original: ENGLISH

TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD
COMMISSION ON INVESTMENT, TECHNOLOGY
AND RELATED FINANCIAL ISSUES

Expert Meeting on the Impact of FDI Policies on
Industrialization, Local Entrepreneurship and the
Development of Supply Capacity

Geneva, 5-7 November 2001

Item 2 of the provisional agenda

PROVISIONAL AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

I. Provisional agenda

1. Election of officers
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. The impact of FDI policies on industrialization, local entrepreneurship and the development of supply capacity of developing countries, in particular the least developed countries
4. Adoption of the outcome of the Meeting



12

4 2 0 0 0 5 2 6 5 4

ENG

II. Annotations to the provisional agenda

Item 1 – Election of officers

1. In accordance with UNCTAD practice, the Expert Meeting may wish to elect a Chairperson and a Vice-Chairperson-cum-Rapporteur.

Item 2 – Adoption of the agenda

2. The provisional agenda for the Meeting is set out in section I above. A detailed programme will be made available at the Meeting.

Documentation

TD/B/COM.2/EM.10/1

Provisional agenda and annotations

Item 3 – The impact of FDI policies on industrialization, local entrepreneurship and the development of supply capacity of developing countries, in particular least developed countries

3. At the consultations of the President of the Trade Development Board on 30 January 2001, member States decided to convene an expert meeting on "The impact of FDI policies on industrialization, local entrepreneurship and the development of supply capacity of developing countries, in particular the least developed countries." This theme is related to the question of policy options that countries can use to enhance the impact of FDI on the industrialization of host economies, as well as the competitiveness of the domestic enterprise sector.

4. Countries are today seeking not only to attract more FDI but also to maximize its benefits. One of the main potential benefits is that FDI can bring a package of tangible and intangible assets that can contribute to enhancing the development of a host economy. For this reason, many developing countries have now included FDI in their development strategies, as a means of fostering industrialization and enhancing the integration of their economies into the global economy and their trade competitiveness. Host countries expect that the diffusion of knowledge and technology controlled by TNCs will exert a positive impact on the upgrading of local capabilities and add to the dynamic efficiency and competitiveness of their economy. The amount of knowledge diffusion depends partly on the extent to which foreign affiliates establish and deepen linkages with local enterprises.

5. The focus of policies encouraging TNCs to establish and deepen linkages with local enterprises with the aim of assisting the process of industrialization of the host economies has shifted over time, partly reflecting changes in national priorities, partly reflecting changes in the international policy environment. While the international regulatory framework is still evolving, the challenge for policy makers is, therefore, to make use of the options allowed within the current framework, as well as other policy measures that are not subject to multilateral rules, to integrate FDI more deeply into their national

economies. FDI policies include policies to attract and target FDI in particular sectors, industries of interest for the country's strategy to develop and industrialize, as well as policies to encourage more linkages between TNCs and local enterprises. Host countries also need to take into account TNCs' corporate strategies, in particular the distribution and organization of their international production networks. Understanding this dimension will also help to increase the efficiency of FDI policies towards supporting host countries' industrialization strategies.

6. The Expert Meeting is expected to have in-depth discussions related to integrating FDI policies in the industrialization strategies of the host countries, including promoting local entrepreneurship and the development of supply capacity. In that context, policy lessons will be drawn from issues such as, how to tap into the international systems of integrated production of TNCs? What are the best strategies to target and attract FDI in particular sectors, compatible with host countries' industrialization strategies? In an evolving international regulatory context, what are the main ingredients of a successful linkages promotion policy? To what degree is success in linkages promotion context-specific and to what extent can generalizations be made?

7. To facilitate the discussions, the UNCTAD secretariat has prepared an issues paper entitled "The impact of FDI policies on industrialization, local entrepreneurship and the development of supply capacity" (TD/B/COM.2/EM.10/2).

Documentation

TD/B/COM.2/EM.10/2	The impact of FDI policies on industrialization, local entrepreneurship and the development of supply capacity – an issues note by the UNCTAD secretariat
--------------------	---

Item 4 – Adoption of the outcome of the Meeting

8. The Expert Meeting may wish to have a substantive outcome for the Commission at the end of the Meeting. This could be in the form of policy options for consideration by the Commission. In addition, the secretariat will produce an informal summary of the discussions. The final report will be compiled under the authority of the Chairperson after the conclusion of the Meeting.



**United Nations
Conference
on Trade and
Development**

Distr.
GENERAL

TD/B/COM.2/EM.10/2
28 September 2001

Original: ENGLISH

TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD

Commission on Investment, Technology and Related Financial Issues
Expert Meeting on the Impact of FDI Policies on Industrialization,
Local Entrepreneurship and the Development of Supply Capacity
Geneva, 5-7 November 2001
Item 3 of the provisional agenda

**THE IMPACT OF FDI POLICIES ON INDUSTRIALIZATION, LOCAL
ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF SUPPLY CAPACITY,
POLICY ISSUES TO CONSIDER**

Note by the UNCTAD secretariat

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Foreign direct investment (FDI) can play an important role in the industrialization process of countries. In particular, the experience of some developing countries suggests that FDI can contribute to the export competitiveness of the host economy and strengthen domestic enterprise capabilities and entrepreneurship. However, the benefits from FDI cannot be taken for granted. This paper explores some policy issues to consider for countries wishing to attract and benefit from FDI, with a view towards contributing to their industrialization, entrepreneurship and competitiveness.



GE. 200005290

ENG

CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
Introduction	3
I. FDI and export competitiveness	
A. Industrialization and export competitiveness	4
B. Enhancing export competitiveness through FDI	5
II. The evolving policy framework	7
III. The Third Generation of investment promotion policies	
A more targeted approach	9
IV. Promoting backward linkages	12
V. Concluding remarks.....	15
References	16

INTRODUCTION

1. The Commission on Investment, Technology and Related Financial Issues, at its fifth session on 12-16 February 2001, recommended that the Trade and Development Board convene an expert meeting on "the impact of FDI policies on industrialization, local entrepreneurship and the development of supply capacity". This theme is related to the question of policy options that countries can use to influence the role of TNCs in the industrialization of their economies.
2. While it is now widely acknowledged that FDI can bring important benefits to a host country – in the form of inflows of capital, technology and skills and improved market access – such benefits cannot be taken for granted. The extent to which FDI plays a role in the industrialization process of different countries depends on many factors, including the nature of the FDI attracted as well as the specific circumstances (including the national and international policy frameworks) under which FDI is undertaken.
3. The challenge facing policymakers is twofold: (1) to adopt appropriate policies for targeting and attracting the kind of FDI that is likely to contribute to the international competitiveness of the enterprise sector of a given country; and (2) to ensure that the potential benefits from the investment received are optimised, especially by enhancing the supply capacity of the domestic enterprise sector. In essence, the question is how can FDI improve the ability of economic actors – directly, foreign affiliates, and indirectly, local firms or the economy at large – to compete better in a globalizing world, primarily by raising, upgrading and diversifying exports, thus contributing to an internationally competitive industrialization of countries (UNCTAD, 2000, p.1).
4. This note gives a brief background to the role of FDI in promoting the industrialization and export competitiveness of developing countries. It also discusses policy options available to host countries seeking to attract export-oriented FDI and to derive benefits from such investment, notably through backward linkages with domestic suppliers.

I. FDI AND EXPORT COMPETITIVENESS

A. Industrialization and export competitiveness

5. The experiences of many newly industrializing countries have shown the role of competitive exports as a linchpin of a sustainable industrialization strategy. Some countries have succeeded in developing outward-oriented domestic industries on the basis of their own efforts, but also using FDI as a welcome source of capital and technology. Increasingly, in a globalizing world, TNCs are playing a determining role in the flows and directions of trade, reflecting decisions as to whether or not to internalize their production along the value chain and where to locate their production activities. Liberalized trade has further facilitated the scale of intra-firm specialization across the globe.
6. At the same time, the structure of world trade has undergone major shifts recently. In particular, manufactures – especially manufactures not based on natural resources – have become

more prominent at the expense of natural resources (the traditional trade specialization of developing countries). Between 1985 and 1998, the share of manufactures in world exports increased from 73 per cent to 83 per cent, while that of natural resources declined from 23 per cent to 12 per cent.¹ Moreover, non-natural-resource-based manufactures increased their share of world exports from 54 per cent to 68 per cent, while natural-resource-based manufactures fell from 19 per cent to 16 per cent.²

7. Some developing countries have significantly increased their share of the most dynamic world exports. While the market share of industrial countries for manufactures not based on natural resources dropped from 82 per cent to 70 per cent, that of developing countries rose from 17.5 per cent to 30 per cent. Asian developing countries accounted for 10.5 percentage points of those gained by the developing world, whereas the share of Latin American countries rose by about 2 percentage points and that of Africa was unchanged. Thus, some countries clearly took advantage of the new opportunities better than others (Mortimore and Peres, 2001). In fact, the great majority of the developing countries have *not* been able to benefit fully from the observed shifts in world trade. Between 1985 and 1998, market share gains in non-natural-resource-based manufactures were concentrated in only 10 economies.³

8. In most of the developing countries that have seen the greatest increases in their share of world exports of manufactures, TNCs played important roles in the process. A factor behind the expansion of manufactures as a proportion of world exports of goods has been the establishment of integrated international production systems (IIPS) on the part of TNCs. Increasingly, TNCs are setting up production systems on the basis of their "efficiency-seeking" corporate strategies, which actively seek out production sites that offer significant advantages in terms of production costs and third market access. Many of the developing countries that have obtained the greatest market share gains in the most dynamic elements of world trade are also countries that have received significant shares of such efficiency-seeking FDI (ranging from high-technology activities in the area of computers and electronics to more low-technology activities associated with garments, sports equipment and even travel goods) (Lall, 2000). A central question here is, how can developing countries – by attracting the right FDI – best tap into the new international production systems of TNCs, perhaps the most dynamic elements of international trade?

B. Enhancing export competitiveness through FDI

9. FDI can help increase exports in all three sectors and many industries, including commodities – which remain important sources of foreign exchange for many developing countries – and services. In particular, manufactures constitute the core of trade flows and have, for the past three decades, provided the broadest scope for better integrating a country into the international market and division of labour. At the same time, given the importance of services (especially in the principal export markets), the limited extent to which they have been traded so far and the

¹ Calculated using the CAN2000 computer programme on international competitiveness developed by UN-ECLAC.

² During the period 1980-1998, 47 of the 50 most dynamic imported products were manufactures. Of these, 32 were from six technologically advanced industries – computers, other electrical machinery, electronic equipment, chemicals, automotive products and non-electrical machinery (based on CAN2000).

³ Eight developing economies: China, Mexico, Singapore, Malaysia, Taiwan Province of China, Thailand, the Republic of Korea and the Philippines; and two developed ones: Spain and Ireland.

increase in their tradability as a result of the application of information and communication technologies, export-oriented policies may need to pay more attention to the potential of services exports. In either case, the key issue is how FDI can contribute to fostering growth and development, converting exports into an engine of growth.

10. The policy issues confronting developing countries that are searching for ways to use FDI as a means of boosting their export competitiveness vary depending on a country's level of development (UNCTAD, 1999, pp. 251-252). For countries with a strong domestic export base and technological capacity, the challenge is mainly to see how inward FDI can help the country to maintain its export competitiveness and to upgrade its exports into more sophisticated products and services. For countries that are active in the dynamic segments of world trade, with the involvement of TNCs, the issues relate to sustainability and upgrading. How can they continue to attract TNCs that source high-technology products as new competition arises and as their own competitive situation evolves, often resulting in higher wages? For countries that have attracted FDI into low-technology export activity but have failed to diversify their export base or to move into higher-value products, the main issues concern how to broaden the competitive production base. Meanwhile, countries that have built up sizeable industries behind protective barriers but have not made the transition to dynamic export growth need to consider how FDI can support the reorientation and upgrading of existing industries to become competitive and export-oriented. Finally, for countries that have weak industries and weak export capacity and are marginal recipients of FDI, the issues relate to their ability to attract FDI that can help create export capacities for any of the three sectors.

11. In general terms, the key question relates to how developing countries can – given their level of development and productive capacity – best take advantage of the opportunities at different stages of production in various industries, in the context of an internationally competitive distribution of production sites through FDI. Countries also need to address the challenge of embedding FDI more firmly in the host economy. This will to a large extent determine whether investments represent solely a unique element of TNCs' production networks or if they also represent an integrated component of a host country's development.

12. Three broad sets of policy measures are relevant in this context (UNCTAD, 1999):

- Policy liberalization in the areas of FDI and trade;
- Policies to target FDI that is conducive to export competitiveness and upgrading; and
- Policy measures to strengthen domestic capacity to foster stronger linkages between foreign affiliates and domestic firms and to enhance the potential for spillovers to and upgrading of the domestic enterprise sector.

13. While each of these areas is important, the analysis below focuses on the latter two. This is in keeping with the main findings of a recent UNCTAD study on competitiveness which indicated that (UNCTAD, 2000, p. 209):

- "Liberal FDI policy, if combined with incentives from the trade regime (essentially strong export orientation), can lead to – and does generally not go beyond – the exploitation of *static* comparative advantages."

- FDI can build *dynamic* comparative advantage in industries in which there are complex and lengthy learning processes, and in countries where the base for such upgrading exists; otherwise the investment closes down or moves on. TNCs differ in their individual reactions, depending on their strategies and competitive positions.
- The extent of dynamism depends critically on government policies: the most important of these are building advanced skills, developing supplier capabilities among domestic enterprises, encouraging technological activity and targeting and guiding FDI."

II. THE EVOLVING POLICY FRAMEWORK

14. Trade and FDI policies continue to evolve. In general, most changes are in the direction of providing a more enabling framework for FDI. Between 1991 and 2000, a total of 1,185 regulatory changes were introduced in national FDI regimes, of which 1,121 (95 per cent) were in the direction of creating a more enabling environment for FDI. During 2000 alone, 69 countries made 150 regulatory changes, of which 147 (98 per cent) were more favourable to foreign investors (UNCTAD, 2001). This shift towards more liberalization and more incentives has reshaped the core enabling framework for FDI.

15. The liberalization process, however, has not been uniform. A number of countries have protected domestic industries using various measures. Selective liberalization has been seen by some countries as a way to reconcile efforts to promote export-oriented FDI while protecting certain activities or industries. Examples of such selective liberalization include export processing zones (EPZs), bonded warehouse and duty drawback systems and gradual tariff phase-outs over a period of time.

16. Preferential trade arrangements – with rules of origin based on the level of domestic value added or local content – can channel FDI into industrialization and improve the trade competitiveness of TNCs in the preference-receiving countries. In general, these effects are the more significant the higher the preferential margin associated with rules of origin and the lower the related administrative costs. Much depends on the nature of existing local entrepreneurial capabilities, local supply capacity and linkages (see below).

17. Meanwhile, the international regulatory framework applied to influence the impact of FDI on industrialization is evolving. In a new context of trade and investment liberalization and WTO commitments, industrialization policies have undergone a significant revision. WTO commitments have changed the policy landscape, and some agreements such as the TRIMs Agreement and the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures have disciplined some policy measures used in the past, such as certain performance requirements and subsidies related to trade.

18. While the new international setting has changed the scope for national policy options, there is nevertheless flexibility within this framework, e.g. in the form of extension of transition arrangements and differential treatment of countries at different levels of development. Although some agreements are subject to further review, the challenge for policymakers is to make use of the options allowed within the current framework, as well as other policy measures that are not

subject to multilateral rules, to integrate FDI more deeply into their national industries in such a way that it contributes to the development of production capabilities and a more competitive enterprise sector.

19. Recent developments call for a fresh assessment of the role Governments can play in stimulating the beneficial impact of FDI on the industrialization process. This requires that Governments take into account the potential tension between the objectives of corporate strategies and the goals of developmental policy, especially where export-oriented FDI plays a catalytic role in the national industrialization strategy. Special attention needs to be paid to the case of the least developed countries (LDCs).

III. THE THIRD GENERATION OF INVESTMENT PROMOTION POLICIES: A MORE TARGETED APPROACH

20. Changes in the global environment – in terms of corporate strategies, new technologies and the evolving regulatory framework – affect the ability of countries to attract and benefit from FDI. As competition for investment flows has intensified, the policies to attract FDI are being refined. Among both developed and developing countries, a new, third generation of investment promotion strategies is becoming increasingly important – a more targeted approach that carefully seeks to match the advantages of individual countries and, indeed, specific locations within them with the needs of specific foreign investors.

21. The first generation of FDI promotion strategies consisted of the liberalization of rules and regulations governing the entry and operations of foreign investors, standards of treatment of foreign affiliates and the functioning of markets. As already noted, virtually all countries – to varying degrees – have undertaken steps in this direction.

22. But while such (in a sense passive) liberalization is important to attract much desired investment, it is usually not sufficient, depending on the particular situation of each country, in the increasingly competitive world market for FDI. Consequently, with the second generation of investment promotion policies, many countries have actively “marketed” their countries as locations for FDI. This approach, which typically includes setting up national investment promotion agencies (IPAs), has been widely adopted by developed as well as developing countries. By way of illustration, the World Association of Investment Promotion Agencies, created in 1995, today has more than 100 members. Most IPAs employ horizontal promotion policies, that is, they market their country in a general way that is similar across all sectors and for all investors.

23. An increasing number of countries that want to stay abreast of the competition – and, above all, to benefit more from FDI – are refining their promotional activities further. This is the third generation of investment promotion policies. It takes an enabling framework for FDI and a proactive approach towards attracting FDI as a starting point. It then proceeds to target foreign investors (in accordance with a country’s developmental priorities) at the level of industries and firms and seeks to meet their specific locational needs at the activity and cluster levels. The key to success of this new generation of investment promotion strategies is that it actually addresses

the basic economic FDI determinants while understanding the changing location strategies of TNCs. While such a targeted approach is difficult and takes time to develop, third generation promotion is nevertheless growing in practice.

24. The third generation of investment promotion policies is particularly relevant in terms of enabling FDI to contribute to industrialization in developing countries by seeking to draw FDI into activities in which a host country has a comparative advantage. A critical element of such investment promotion is to improve particular locations and market them to potential investors in specific activities. In order to target specific investors, countries need to have a clear understanding of TNC strategies: they cannot formulate effective strategies otherwise. Thus, investment promotion agencies pursuing the third generation of promotion policies have to include this as a necessary input in their activities. For countries seeking to enhance their export competitiveness, careful targeting can include efforts to attract FDI by TNCs that are organizing their activities in IIPs.

25. In order to increase the contribution of export-oriented FDI to the local industrialization process, the question becomes: what are the best strategies to target and attract FDI in particular activities, in line with host countries' industrialization policies? Moreover, it may be necessary for FDI promotion policy designed to encourage TNCs to establish local export platforms to be coordinated with complementary policies in related areas. Thus, along with policies to attract certain FDI, other policies dealing with regional development and/or clustering and linkages may be required. Policy coherence relies on a delicate mixture of active FDI attraction coupled with subtle initiatives aimed at rooting FDI in the local industrial landscape.

26. As already noted, a few developing countries have been successful in attracting FDI to their economies in support of their objectives with regard to increasing their global market shares in terms of their exports of manufactures. They usually did so by establishing export processing zones, special industrial zones and the like. Thus, even in the case of these countries, the increase in exports of manufactures often did not result in the complete integration of FDI into the local industrialization process. Thus, Governments sometimes found that even where they obtained important advances in the export competitiveness of their economies based on FDI in manufacturing, it was not in the integrated manner that could make an optimum contribution to the development process. There is therefore a need to explore how the promotion of export-oriented inward FDI can be combined with efforts to strengthen the integration of foreign affiliates into the domestic enterprise sector (see below).

27. The increasing sophistication of investment promotion poses important policy challenges for developing countries. Without special assistance in this area, many countries, in particular the least developed countries, risk becoming even more marginal to the dynamics of international trade, especially as regards TNCs that are reconfiguring their existing IIPs and establishing new ones, because they cannot compete adequately in responding to the requirements for attracting high-quality FDI.

28. In view of these remarks, issues that could be examined by experts include:

- (a) To what extent do countries go beyond the first and second generation of investment promotion strategies and apply a more targeted approach to attract FDI?

- (b) What instruments/tools and policy measures can be used in a more proactive, third generation of FDI promotion strategies? What types of action/measure should be avoided in this context?
- (c) What are the best strategies for targeting FDI in particular industries, in line with host countries' development policies and consonant with the needs of TNCs establishing their international production systems?
- (d) What criteria are normally applied by countries to determine what industries and activities to target?
- (e) How many industries and at what level of specificity are they normally targeted?
- (f) How do countries evaluate their success in attracting FDI in the chosen industries and activities?
- (g) How do countries coordinate national efforts to promote inward FDI with sub-national investment efforts, where the latter exist?
- (h) What can be done to assist LDCs in refining their efforts to attract export-oriented FDI?
- (i) How can improved access to developed country markets enhance the chances of attracting export-oriented FDI? What else can developed countries do to assist a more targeted approach to attract FDI?

IV. PROMOTING BACKWARD LINKAGES

29. Regardless of the precise mix of the three generations of FDI promotion strategies that is being pursued, the competitiveness of the domestic enterprise sector and the existence of a pool of skilled labour are key to success in optimizing the benefits of FDI for industrialization. Strong local firms can attract FDI; the entry of foreign affiliates, in turn, can feed into the competitiveness, entrepreneurship and dynamism of the domestic enterprise sector. Furthermore, strong domestic capabilities enhance the absorptive capacity of a host economy and thereby increase the chances of positive spillovers from FDI. The most direct channel for diffusing skills, knowledge and technology from foreign affiliates is the linkages they develop with local firms and institutions.

30. Foreign affiliates often have a strong interest in fostering links with local firms. The continuous liberalization of FDI and trade policies means that TNCs can exploit new opportunities for improving the efficiency of their production networks and become more competitive. In many industries and firms, this results in a higher degree of specialization, a stronger focus on core activities and a greater reliance on external suppliers of inputs. From the standpoint of foreign affiliates, local procurement can lower production costs in host economies and allow greater specialization and flexibility, with better adaptation to local conditions of technologies, products and services. The presence of technologically advanced suppliers can also

provide affiliates with access to external technological and skill resources, feeding into their own innovative efforts.

31. While this opens up new opportunities for creating linkages through outsourcing and sub-contracting or other types of long-term supply contracts, it also involves major challenges, particularly for SMEs in developing countries. Becoming a supplier to a large TNC is no easy task. Increased competitive pressure is forcing firms at all points of the supply chain to select suppliers that meet highly stringent demands in terms of cost, quality and timely delivery. This often leads foreign affiliates in globally oriented industries (notably in electronics and automobiles) to use other foreign affiliates as suppliers or import from abroad rather than to rely on domestic sources. In some cases, foreign affiliates are unable to use local sources of inputs due to global sourcing arrangements determined at the level of TNC headquarters.

32. Some TNCs organize special development programmes in host developing countries to assist potential or existing suppliers. The experience (described in UNCTAD, 2001) of various companies illustrates how companies in different industries and host countries can actively support suppliers to upgrade their technology, productivity and ability to compete internationally. However, the extent to which foreign affiliates forge linkages with domestic suppliers (as opposed to, say, using imports) is determined by the cost-benefit balance of such efforts. The most important factors are related to corporate strategy and the availability of supply capacity. A lack of efficient domestic suppliers is a common obstacle to the creation of linkages, particularly in developing countries.

33. The willingness of foreign affiliates to use local suppliers can be influenced by government policies addressing different market failures at different levels in the linkage formation process. For example, TNCs may be unaware of the availability of viable suppliers. In developing countries, policies may be required to compensate for weak financial markets or weak institutions like vocational schools, training institutes, technology support centres, R&D and testing laboratories and the like. In this way, well-designed government intervention can raise the benefits and reduce the costs of using domestic suppliers.

34. The role of policy is most significant where there is an information gap on the part of both buyers and suppliers about linkage opportunities, where there is a capability gap between the requirements of buyers and the supply capacity of suppliers, and where the costs and risks of setting up linkages or deepening them can be reduced. While the linkage formation process is affected by a host country's overall policy environment, its economic and institutional framework, the availability of human resources, the quality of infrastructure and political and macroeconomic stability, the most important host country factor relates to the availability, cost and quality of domestic suppliers.

35. In the area of supplier development, one approach involves encouraging linkages through various measures to bring domestic suppliers and foreign affiliates together and to strengthen their linkages in the key areas of information, technology, training and finance. Such measures can include, for example, the provision of information and matchmaking to help domestic firms link up with foreign affiliates; encouraging foreign affiliates to participate in programmes aimed at upgrading domestic suppliers' technological capabilities; promoting the establishment of supplier associations or clubs; the joint provision of services (especially training); and various

schemes to enhance domestic suppliers' access to finance. Another approach goes further in that it involves the establishment of a specific linkage promotion programme combining a number of the measures. This typically focuses on a selected number of industries and firms with a view towards increasing and deepening linkages between foreign affiliates and domestic firms.

36. Some countries have set up specific linkage programmes involving a combination of different policy measures and targeting selected industries and firms. Such programmes have been put in place primarily by countries with a large foreign presence and with a (relatively) well developed base of domestic enterprises. Costa Rica, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Ireland, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand and the United Kingdom have all made special efforts of this kind. Some of the programmes are organized at the national level, while others have been implemented as regional or local initiatives. There are a few elements that figure frequently in such programmes: the provision of market and business information; matchmaking; and managerial or technical assistance, training and, occasionally, financial support or incentives. Some programmes have also included FDI promotion activities to attract foreign investors to targeted industries. In each case, sustainable linkages will only be created if both foreign affiliates and domestic firms can benefit from them (UNCTAD, 2001).

37. Linkages can be seen as a stepping stone towards strengthening the competitiveness of domestic firms, giving them a foothold in international production networks and embedding foreign affiliates fully in host economies. At the same time, linkage promotion efforts should form part of a broader set of FDI and SME policies. The third generation of FDI promotion policies has a role to play here. In fact, the more linkage promotion policies go hand-in-hand with SME development and targeted FDI promotion policies, the more they are likely to be successful.

38. Based on the research undertaken in connection with the *World Investment Report 2001*, some general points can be made. In the new policy environment, active policy approaches that work with the market are at a premium. Policies are often highly context-specific and need to be adapted to the specific circumstances prevailing in each host country. The starting point for an effective linkage programme is a clear vision of how FDI fits into the overall development strategy and, more specifically, a strategy to build production capacity. The vision has to be based on a clear understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of the economy and of the challenges facing it in a globalizing world. A linkage programme should, in particular, address the competitive requirements of domestic enterprises and the implications these have for policies, private and public support institutions and support measures (including skill and technology upgrading).

39. In the context of linkage promotion, experts may consider the following issues:

- (a) What are the main ingredients of a successful linkages promotion policy?
- (b) To what degree is success in linkage promotion context-specific and to what degree can generalizations be made?
- (c) How can FDI be used in the transition from only the promotion of exports of goods and services to the promotion of exports of goods and services coupled with deeper

integration into the host economy? What approaches can be used to tap into the integrated international production systems of TNCs?

- (d) What has been the experience of countries with regard to the effectiveness of various measures (including host country operational measures) in attracting FDI and promoting export competitiveness and local linkages to meet the needs of industrialization and technological development?
- (e) What is the most appropriate institutional set-up for linkage promotion?
- (f) How can the effectiveness of linkage promotion efforts best be evaluated?

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

40. One of the principal prerequisites for establishing a policy to promote internationally competitive industrialization is the determination on the part of the national authorities of the nature of the integration into the international economy that is being contemplated and the role of TNCs. This can range from a policy with minimal goals beyond the expansion of exports by way of FDI in export processing zone activities to the active targeting of individual TNCs in the context of the industrial relevance of their IIPs for the host country and the search for ways to improve their integration into the national economy. The nature of the policy selected has to reflect a large number of factors, such as the competitive advantages of the host country, the competitive situation in specific industries, the corporate strategies of individual TNCs, the international regulatory environment and the institutional framework and decision-making capacity of the host Government. Several Asian countries have been able to start with a relatively passive policy aimed simply at increasing export performance and progressively evolve that policy in the direction of targeting particular kinds of FDI and further integrating it into the national industrialization process.

41. The complexity of issues related to FDI promotion, industrialization strategies, enterprise development and linkages promotion policies results in a need for coordination and coherence among these areas. There are at least two major aspects of such coherence. First of all, it should impact the role of FDI promotion policies within the framework of national development strategies. As a result, the aim of FDI promotion will no longer be a simple maximization of the volume of FDI but will increasingly involve a targeted approach that focuses on attracting "higher quality" investment projects. "Higher quality", in turn, is contextual, depending on the development goals and visions of each host country. The optimization of benefits is made possible only if FDI policies and other development policies mutually reinforce each other. The aim is to go beyond *static* comparative advantages and nurture *dynamic* comparative advantages.

42. Another area where coherence plays a role is the relationship of national investment policies in the broad context of commitments made in the framework of international agreements having an impact on those national investment policies.

REFERENCES

Lall, S. (2000). "Expert performance, technological upgrading and foreign direct investment strategies in the Asian newly industrializing economies: with special reference to Singapore". *Desarrollo Productivo*, 88, ECLAC (Santiago:United Nations).

Mortimore, M. and Peres, W. (2001). "La competitividad empresarial en America Latina y el Caribe", *CEPAL Review*, 74, August, pp. 37-59.

UNCTAD (1999). *World Investment Report 1999: Foreign Direct Investment and the Challenge of Development*. United Nations publication, Sales No. E.99.II.D.3, New York and Geneva.

UNCTAD, (2000). *The Competitiveness Challenge: Transnational Corporations and Industrial Restructuring in Developing Countries*. United Nations publication, Sales No. E.00.II.D.35, New York and Geneva.

UNCTAD, (2001). *World Investment Report 2001: Promoting Linkages*. United Nations publication, Sales No. E.01.II.D.12, New York and Geneva.



**United Nations
Conference
on Trade and
Development**

Distr.
GENERAL

TD/B/COM.2/35
TD/B/COM.2/EM.10/3
6 December 2001

Original: ENGLISH

TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD
Commission on Investment, Technology and
Related Financial Issues
Sixth session
Geneva, 21-25 January 2002

**REPORT OF THE EXPERT MEETING ON THE IMPACT OF FDI POLICIES ON
INDUSTRIALIZATION, LOCAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND THE
DEVELOPMENT OF SUPPLY CAPACITY**

Held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva
5 to 7 November 2001

CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
I. Outcome of the Expert Meeting.....	2
II. Chairperson's summary	7
III. Organizational matters	17
Annex	
Attendance	19

Chapter I

OUTCOME OF THE EXPERT MEETING

1. The Expert Meeting on the Impact of FDI Policies on Industrialization, Local Entrepreneurship and the Development of Supply Capacity examined the policy issues related to: (a) the link between foreign direct investment (FDI) and industrialization, export competitiveness and development of supply capacity; (b) FDI promotion in the context of development strategies; (c) specific instruments and tools for FDI targeting; and (d) the promotion of backward linkages to support local entrepreneurship and development of supply capacity.
2. The experts noted that:
 - (a) Most Governments acknowledge today that FDI can provide important benefits to host countries. It is clear that, in some countries and under certain circumstances, FDI can help enhance export competitiveness and support industrialization strategies. The extent to which FDI contributes to these goals depends on many factors, including the corporate strategies that drive the FDI, as well as the degree of leverage achieved by policy makers and firms in the host economy from the linkages established. Benefits are not automatic, and countries need to create the appropriate conditions for the realization of the potential benefits.
 - (b) Increasingly, in a globalizing world, transnational corporations are playing a decisive role in the global distribution of production and trade. In many of the developing countries that have had the greatest increases in their share of world exports of manufactures, attracting the right type of FDI has been central to their success.
 - (c) The policy issues confronting developing countries that are searching for ways to use FDI as a means of boosting their export competitiveness vary, depending on their level of development, the sectors targeted and the resources available. However, all countries need to define from the outset clear policy objectives and to establish an FDI promotion framework consistent with each country's capacities and opportunities. Policies play an important role not only in attracting the kind of FDI which helps to develop industries that correspond to, and utilize, the dynamic comparative advantage of host countries, but also in spreading the benefits derived from FDI through linkages with domestic enterprises, thereby enhancing their supply capacity and eventually providing them with an opportunity to become global suppliers.

3. On the basis of the exchanges of experience during the Expert Meeting, some elements of best practice in the design of FDI promotion policies and policies to promote backward linkages could be considered.
4. First, there is a need to achieve political stability and a favourable environment for private sector activities, which may involve simply relaxing excessive restrictions, introducing new laws and regulations, or reinterpreting existing ones that can facilitate business.
5. A targeted FDI promotion approach would imply:
 - (a) A focus on a few competitive sectors and industries;
 - (b) A matching of countries' advantages with investors' interests;
 - (c) An effort to create value added and leverage through foreign investment.
6. A targeted approach is an ongoing process and should develop with countries' evolving objectives and advantages.
7. Targeted companies could be those which:
 - (a) Already have a presence in the host economy;
 - (b) Are part of the supply chain;
 - (c) Are users of countries' own resources, including raw materials and human skills;
 - (d) Are active in strong production sectors with growth opportunities;
 - (e) Help to establish new core competencies.
8. The tools to target investment have evolved, from cost reduction tools to differentiation and specialization. Government incentives, including tax incentives, can play a role. The tools often found to be effective include:
 - (a) Clusters;
 - (b) Public-private partnerships;
 - (c) Alliances;
 - (d) Aftercare for existing investors;
 - (e) Subnational agglomeration.
9. In many countries, clustering has become an important targeting mechanism. It sometimes involves using or establishing research and development facilities, a network of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and a good public utilities infrastructure.

10. Belonging to a preferential trade area or having access to larger markets can be an advantage in attracting FDI.

11. Consideration could be given to promoting an efficient linkage programme which would take into account the following aspects:

- (a) The need for matchmaking to be complemented by measures to upgrade local supply capacity;
- (b) The need for supplier development efforts to be selective and target SMEs that show the greatest potential for growth;
- (c) Cooperation and coordination among the various government agencies;
- (d) Efforts to make SME development tally with FDI policies;
- (e) Reliance on the best business service providers, whether public or private;
- (f) The need for measures to be context-specific, and appropriate to the circumstances of each country or subnational location.

A. Governments

12. Governments are encouraged to consider cost-efficient ways of promoting FDI policies that are consistent with their country's overall development objectives and industrialization strategies, fully involving the private sector and reconciling foreign investors' motives with the country's priorities. While government leadership in providing a clear vision of a development strategy is the starting point, a public-private sector partnership is both helpful and necessary during the implementation stages.

13. Coordination among government agencies and departments, and a simplified institutional setting consisting of a lead agency for FDI promotion, are also highly recommended.

14. A targeted approach is recommended in designing FDI promotion policies, as it represents the most efficient use of limited resources, offers the best prospects for success, and helps to achieve strategic goals.

15. To increase the beneficial leverage of FDI on the host economy, Governments need to pay special attention to developing local supplier programmes, and to upgrading the technology and capacity of local suppliers.

16. Governments should examine the key elements of a linkage promotion programme, as summarized in the following steps:

- (a) Setting the policy objectives, in line with the country's overall development strategy;

- (b) Identifying the targets of the programme: selection of industries, foreign affiliates and domestic firms;
- (c) Identifying the specific measures to be adopted, in terms of information and matchmaking, technology and technical assistance, training and provision of finance;
- (d) Setting up an institutional and administrative framework to implement and monitor the programme.

17. It was suggested by some experts that developing countries should accelerate the privatization of the electricity, water and telecommunications sectors with complete transparency.

18. Home countries should share experiences in targeting and linkage promotion, and assist in this respect.

19. Improved access to developed country markets, especially for products from the least developed countries and low-income countries, can help those countries to attract FDI.

20. Home countries are also encouraged to provide financial assistance to developing countries, particularly those with limited resources, for the establishment of an appropriate framework for FDI promotion and linkage development.

21. Outward FDI agencies in developed countries could serve as vehicles for supporting FDI in developing countries, for example by liaising with investment promotion agencies in those countries and disseminating information.

B. The international community

22. International institutions dealing with FDI should assist developing countries and countries in transition in setting up an appropriate institutional framework for FDI policies and targeting, including the formation of clusters.

23. Cooperation and coordination among United Nations agencies and other relevant institutions on these issues are desirable.

24. The international community should assist the least developed countries in building and improving the infrastructure necessary for attracting FDI.

C. UNCTAD

25. UNCTAD should:

- (a) Continue to analyse the international systems of production, by sector and industry, and make this information available to member countries;

- (b) Assist developing countries in assessing their existing capabilities in order to help them define policy goals in line with their competitive situation in the international market;
- (c) Help developing countries to design, implement and monitor FDI targeting and linkage programmes consistent with their industrialization and development strategies.

Chapter II

CHAIRPERSON'S SUMMARY

A. Industrialization, export competitiveness, development of supply capacity and FDI

26. The main development question for the experts was what types of policies should be considered for implementing an industrialization strategy that was export-oriented and used foreign direct investment (FDI) as a catalyst. Country experiences in this regard varied widely: some economies relied on exports generated by domestically owned industries, while others applied a strategy more reliant on FDI, which serviced assembled or manufactured exports for regional or international markets. A number of countries that had adopted the latter strategy had made remarkable progress in export competitiveness through integration into the international production systems of transnational corporations (TNCs).

27. The economic performance of a number of Asian, Latin American and transition economies suggested that the export- and FDI-oriented development path could indeed be successful, harnessing available technology and moving up the value chain systematically. Various statistical analyses showed the increased export exposure in world markets of a number of developing economies, and also the relevance of FDI as a means of achieving industrial development.

28. Among the examples identified were China and several South-East and East Asian economies, which had shown impressive gains in world market shares during the past 15 years and had changed the structure of their exports from low- to high-tech products on the basis of proactive government policies. Similarly, in Latin America, Mexico had increased the share of its exports in non-resource-based manufacturing and mid- and high-tech products, while Costa Rica had achieved a dramatic increase in non-resource-based manufacturing and started the production and export of high-tech products as a result of a large inward FDI (by Intel). In Central and Eastern Europe also, countries such as Hungary and the Czech Republic had substantially increased their export market shares in the 1990s, and shifted from low- to high-tech exports, largely as a result of proximity to European Union (EU) markets and incoming FDI.

29. The policies and strategies adopted in several of those countries were examined in detail. China, for example, had recently begun emphasizing higher-value-added FDI. During preceding phases of the country's FDI policies (1979-1991), the focus had been on attracting labour-intensive FDI into the then newly created Special Economic Zones. In the first phase, from 1979 to 1983, China started liberalizing FDI and created the Special Economic Zones. TNCs were attracted both by the country's relatively low-cost labour and by the comprehensive set of special incentives offered by the Zones. In the second phase, from 1984 to 1991, FDI slowed down somewhat and new measures were introduced to encourage inward FDI, with reliance mostly on tax incentives. In the third phase (from 1992 onwards),

China's FDI policy underwent substantial strategic changes. These included in particular a gradual shift towards stimulating FDI not merely in the Special Economic Zones, but also in other regions. Since the year 2000, investment promotion in China had been especially focused on attracting higher-value-added and more technology-intensive FDI, while at the same time encouraging outward FDI by Chinese firms to further foster their competitiveness. As a result of these FDI policies, China had experienced impressive increases in exports, which had shifted in recent years from labour-intensive to more technology- and capital-intensive activities. This shift was also explained, in part, by the increasing share of FDI that was oriented towards producing for the domestic market as opposed to purely export-oriented FDI. Another result of the process was that the industrial structure of the Chinese economy had changed with regard to ownership. The importance of State-owned enterprises in domestic industrial production had decreased in favour of joint ventures with foreign participation. In the context of China's accession to the World Trade Organization, a fourth phase of FDI policies was emerging that would further accentuate the trend towards more technology- and capital-intensive forms of FDI.

30. In the industrialization process in Malaysia, the Government's FDI policies were consistently based on a development strategy vision. The focus of those policies evolved from the attraction of labour-intensive and export-oriented FDI in the early 1970s to the technological upgrading of manufacturing facilities, moving into higher-value-added and higher-wage activities such as product development and distribution. A similar sequence was initiated in Costa Rica, which had rapidly moved into such areas as product and software design and new technologies in general.

31. In the case of Mexico, the growth in exports (and imports) throughout the 1990s was clearly led by non-oil export activities, particularly exports of manufacturing goods, most of which were produced by affiliates of TNCs. This growth had contributed to the growth of the country's gross domestic product and to job creation. The FDI inflows were largely due to the North American Free Trade Agreement, but the country's success was not solely because of its geographical proximity to the North American markets, but also a result of its efforts to diversify trading partners from different regions (e.g. Central and South America, and Asia) through numerous bilateral free-trade and investment agreements. With the change in 1993 to a liberalized FDI policy, FDI inflows into the country – mostly FDI from the United States in the manufacturing sector – had increased threefold (from 1994 to 2000). In this connection, the Meeting noted the changing objectives of efficiency-seeking foreign investors (i.e. from a focus on low labour costs to attention to more highly skilled labour), the extension of international production systems and the intense competition from Asian economies, competing for FDI flows and to export to the United States market.

32. Mexico would continue to concentrate on export promotion and negotiation of international trade agreements as its main industrial development policies, while improving the country's comparative advantages – its young population, privileged geographical location and a worldwide network of trade agreements – to reap the benefits of free trade and investment. It would also increase the number of sectors, regions and enterprises participating in export activities and would develop local supplier programmes to increase the local

content in exports. Special attention would be devoted to developing and upgrading small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in the southern provinces and to strengthening ties to other Central American countries.

33. In the Russian Federation, the transition process was still under way, and the Government was focusing attention on establishing trust among potential investors – domestic and foreign – and on overcoming macroeconomic imbalances. A Consultative Board on FDI was created in 1995; it was chaired by the President and comprised representatives of the Ministry of Finance, the Central Bank, the Ministry of State Monopolies and other national and regional government bodies, three dozen large TNCs and the Russian Chamber of Industry. A new law on FDI was adopted in 1999. Inflows were generally market-seeking – as opposed to export-oriented – and directed to heavy industry and the food industry, but also increasingly to high-technology industries (e.g. Intel) and to trade.

34. A current preoccupation of policy makers in developing and transition economies was the effect of the recent cyclical slowdown in the world economy, coupled with the effects of the restructuring of global industries such as electronics and information technology on future FDI flows. Some TNCs were reportedly putting new investment projects on hold, while others such as Intel and Cisco were turning increasingly to cost-saving measures such as outsourcing or relocation to alternative, low-cost investment sites. In general, TNCs – like all investors – were more easily attracted to dynamic markets with strong growth.

35. The Meeting noted that the experiences of those economies that had utilized FDI efficiently needed to be studied carefully. Latecomers to industrialization could not simply replicate such outward-oriented growth experiences: they needed to build specific competitive advantages and to adopt those policies and strategies that suited their resource and skill endowments. For example, less developed countries and least developed countries might follow strategies built on resource-based as opposed to technology-based exports. They might aim at increasing the degree of processing and the knowledge content of exports. Chile and Benin at different stages of production were mentioned as examples of countries which specialized in less dynamic commodity sectors but which, by developing higher-value-added activities through industrial policy measures, were entering processed product markets. The need for proactive government policies towards foreign investors was clear, since these could facilitate the upgrading of industries to higher-value-added production.

36. Common elements in cases of internationally competitive industrialization appeared to include:

- (a) Active government policies, including a clear vision and strategy regarding the industrialization process, and ensuing action;
- (b) Development of export markets, ideally through preferential market access for developing countries but also through active negotiation of trade agreements (the role of the American Growth and Opportunity Act for African developing countries was cited as an example in this connection);

- (c) Integration into the international production systems through inward FDI and, where possible, outward FDI.

B. The role of corporate strategies and other considerations of foreign investors

37. A thorough understanding of international production systems and TNC strategies was acknowledged as essential for successful implementation of industrialization and export policies using FDI as a catalyst. It was noted that TNCs operating in dynamic markets, characterized by short product life cycles, were primarily concerned with a rapid start-up (i.e. becoming operational in a new location within three months), the speed of innovation, and the speedy production and distribution of the final product with minimal inventories. In fact, in such industries, speed was reportedly more important than the size of a firm, and the key to success could be described as being fast and flexible in adapting to changing markets and changing customer requirements. This might well be compatible with an absence of a specific product- or market-oriented corporate strategy. In the long term, as regards typical contractual manufactures, the trend towards outsourcing might prevail. As far as smaller TNCs were concerned, their success was driven substantially by their ability to take advantage of the World Wide Web or inter-firm linkages.

38. In addition to political stability and the absence of corruption, foreign investors carefully gauged the following factors when selecting an investment location:

- (a) Availability of public utilities infrastructure (water, sewage, electricity);
- (b) A one-stop window for foreign investors;
- (c) Presence of SMEs as potential suppliers (local foreign) and notably suppliers with certified quality and performance standards;
- (d) Ease of access to and low cost of land, and simple and timely construction approvals;
- (e) Availability of skilled human resources and access to accumulated know-how;
- (f) Flexibility of labour markets (adaptability to shifts in production and seasonal production);
- (g) Consistent trade policies and legislation, notably on export processing zones (EPZs), and efficient customs clearance procedures;
- (h) Assured access to the main destination markets;
- (i) A stable and predictable tax environment, including reliable/stable incentives, if any (tax holidays being of secondary importance);
- (j) Capital and profit repatriation, and stable exchange rates;
- (k) Quick and simple expatriate regulations, and appropriate housing etc.

39. In Costa Rica, for example, foreign investors such as Intel had based their investment decision on the existence of a skilled labour force and the availability of research facilities. In the case of Hungary, where Flextronics had made its largest investment (measured against the size of the country), the investment had been triggered by the country's proximity to Western European markets (mainly EU markets), a low-wage but highly skilled labour force, confidence in government and society, and the ease of doing business and securing government support. Measures provided by the Government included industrial parks, duty-free zones and tax holidays. To some extent, similar considerations swayed investors in Mexico.

C. Targeting FDI in the context of development strategies

40. The Meeting noted that, in the context of their industrialization strategies, many Governments used investor-targeting approaches, although they were not always necessarily identified as such. Successful targeting strategies required clarity concerning:

- (a) Dissemination of information about the opportunities offered by the host country, i.e. successful country-image building;
- (b) The industries, sectors and companies to be targeted and how to approach them;
- (c) The compatibility of investors' needs with what a country had to offer.

41. Regarding the first point, data from investor countries such as Japan and the United States suggested that investment in Africa could be more profitable than in other regions. More could be done, therefore, to communicate such information more broadly to potential investors.

42. It was pointed out that investment decisions were ultimately taken not by companies but by individuals, and that it was they who ought to be targeted. Investor targeting required considerable persistence on the part of the investment promotion agency (IPA), namely contacting the same firm repeatedly before a decision on an investment project might be triggered. It was also observed that targeting had to be understood as an ongoing process, spanning the entire period from the first contact to the actual establishment of the firm and reaching into aftercare for existing investors. This had become a difficult exercise owing to the large number of IPAs and the competition among them, as well as to the greatly increased pool of TNCs from among which an IPA had to identify a subset of companies upon which to focus, as witnessed by the increasing number of small TNCs from many developing and transition countries. Last but not least, the demands of TNCs had become ever more sophisticated and difficult to comply with.

43. Since investor targeting constituted policy at the micro level, it was of paramount importance for an IPA to attend to details and to consult and involve various actors such as the pertinent government agencies, representatives of local service providers, consulting firms, and TNCs already operating in the host country, as well as experts in the firm(s)

targeted. It was equally important to convince stakeholders in the host economy, such as local manufacturers, that the inward FDI project would provide concrete benefits for them too. There was a case for being "aggressive and creative". The former required the involvement of senior political levels, perfect organization and strict confidentiality during negotiations, while "creativity" referred to using all conceivable vehicles of communication.

44. It was also noted that subnational and local offices within a country played a crucial role in targeting. They were the best and most accessible sources of information regarding emerging investment opportunities throughout the country. A coordinated approach by the promotion agencies at different levels was therefore highly advisable. Some experts emphasized the importance of analysing emerging world market opportunities as a precondition for efficient investor targeting. The case of Malaysia was cited: this country had seized the opportunity to develop a natural-rubber-based industry when the AIDS pandemic suddenly boosted world demand for latex products.

45. More broadly, the Meeting was briefed on the trade-data-based targeting tools developed by the International Trade Centre. The software facilitated a classification of export products, reflecting whether a country had won or lost market shares worldwide and the development of import demand for a particular good. Such classification could in turn serve to identify targetable product groups and industries and sectors. It addressed the first step in the two-step process for assessing countries' export competitiveness, namely an informed analysis of their export opportunities. A sound assessment of countries' capabilities constituted the second step.

46. Targeting typically consisted in attracting FDI into particular industries. For example, Malaysia was increasingly targeting specific high-skill services such as software development, education, medical services, and information technology and multimedia services, while Panama was targeting FDI into industries such as agro-processing and mining, as well as into services (e.g. packaging, education, health, information and communication technology services, tourism, financial services, telecommunications and logistics). Similarly, Ethiopia had, in cooperation with the United Nations Industrial Development Organization, launched a project to attract FDI into natural-resource-based manufacturing, such as textile, leather and footwear manufacturing, in partnerships with local companies.

47. In general, targeting by industry should focus on TNCs that:

- (a) Were already established in the country, as they were easily approachable, and their reinvestments often accounted for a major share of FDI inflows;
- (b) Were already part of a supply chain to which the country was linked;
- (c) Were searching for countries' raw materials or for talents based in the host country; some countries were, for example, inviting highly qualified and talented people to take up residence as they might in turn attract FDI, or encouraging export- and FDI-oriented services such as software development, market research and call centres;

- (d) Were operating in sectors where the country was competitive and had dynamic comparative advantages; and/or
- (e) Could help to establish and develop new core competencies.

48. In addition to targeting by industry, some countries undertook geographical targeting by addressing specific investor home countries. The Uganda Investment Authority, for example, focused investment promotion activities on a number of regional countries (South Africa, Mauritius, Egypt and Kenya), countries with which Uganda shared particular interests (Netherlands, United Kingdom and United States) and, building on ethnic ties, other developing countries (India, China and Malaysia).

49. Targeting could also take the form of attracting investment to meet identified objectives, such as employment generation, domestic market development, generation of exports or upgrading of domestic industries. African countries, for example, noted that resource-seeking FDI might be used to begin processing of natural-resource-based products. This would require long-term policies initially aimed at enhancing their capabilities, notably the organization of commodity markets and the skills of the labour force.

50. The Meeting also considered targeting tools. Incentives, of whatever type, were seldom decisive factors in attracting FDI; rather, they would make a difference only where rival locations would provide a more or less identical offer. In all other cases, there was a risk that they would merely subsidize investment activities which companies would have undertaken in any event. On the other hand, it was noted that the use of incentives by developing countries was legitimate and appeared necessary for as long as developed countries continued to make ample use of them to attract FDI.

51. Financial support to potential investors, or conducting feasibility studies on their behalf, could be beneficial. Developing country IPAs could also utilize initiatives of investor home countries, such as outward investment promotion, as a vehicle for disseminating information about investment opportunities in their country.

52. Finally, it was important for IPAs to develop objective success and evaluation criteria and standards. A number of success indicators were mentioned, such as value-added created, the number or value of newly established projects, and employment criteria such as the number of jobs created directly, safeguarded, or created through multiplier effects.

53. In sum, although targeting was important since it could contribute to a focused and efficient use of an IPA's or a Government's limited resources, experts warned that there was no "one-size-fits-all" formula for investor targeting; instead, the approach depended *inter alia* on the country's stage of development, the specific overall priorities and the resources available. The need, therefore, to identify viable "niches" was emphasized.

D. Local entrepreneurship and development of supply capacity: Promoting backward linkages

54. The degree to which export-oriented industries and foreign-invested firms were embedded in the local economy is crucial with regard to enhancing the contribution of FDI to the industrialization of host countries. The Meeting therefore discussed various examples of policies on the development of local entrepreneurship and supply capacity, backward linkages and the clustering of firms.

55. As described in the *World Investment Report 2001*, backward linkages between foreign affiliates and local firms could benefit not only TNCs, but also host economies and local firms. Findings in that report illustrated the importance of linkage promotion, taking account of factors specific to industries, TNCs and host economies. Linkage promotion policies needed to be consistent with and embedded in a broad range of policies that facilitated enterprise development based on a clear vision of development strategy. Linkage promotion strategies were at the intersection of FDI promotion and SME development, serving the interests of both foreign investors and local SMEs.

56. Reviews of existing linkage programmes in medium- and high-income countries demonstrated the importance of Governments' efforts in the area of SME development. In several countries and subregions, they had provided assistance in the provision of information, matchmaking, technology upgrading, training and finance. Key steps towards an effective linkage promotion programme were set out in the *World Investment Report 2001*.

57. Low-income countries where financial resources were scarce (e.g. South Africa) could explore possibilities for the active involvement of the private sector to reduce the costs of establishing linkage programmes, and various forms of public-private partnerships. Most programmes reviewed in the *World Investment Report 2001* had been started on the initiative of the country's IPAs, relying on combined support from the public and private sectors. Indeed, IPAs could be a catalyst for linkage formation, provided that they had the necessary capacity and received assistance from all parties concerned.

58. With regard to supplier development, and with a view to maximizing the welfare derived from attracting export-oriented FDI, countries were well advised to develop domestic SMEs and/or to attract suitable foreign SMEs as potential suppliers. In respect of domestic SMEs, the recently launched PROVEE project in Costa Rica was of some significance, as it aimed at developing world-class local suppliers. One of the goals of supplier development was to enable efficient local firms to reach international standards and become exporters and outward investors in their own right, as illustrated by the case of a Costa Rican packaging manufacturer that had established subsidiaries in Mexico and the United States.

59. There was also a case for attracting foreign suppliers, and notably small or newly emerging TNCs, which unlike large TNCs often did not have the resources or capacities to study investment opportunities. Governments or IPAs could implement measures designed

specifically to attract and support such firms, which were often especially well suited to developing-country environments.

60. There were various examples of countries where a linkages development programme had made a difference. Malaysia, for example, had adopted a multiple approach, which included the setting up of trust funds to invest in SMEs, the attracting of foreign banks and insurance companies, the setting up of government-owned development banks and "moral pressure" by the Central Bank to convince commercial banks to include local SMEs in their lending portfolio. In Costa Rica, linkages creation was directed to activities such as training and certification for potential suppliers. In Uganda, the Uganda Investment Authority had a continuous private-public sector dialogue, and its staff had private-sector experience. With a view to developing subnational clusters to promote linkages, a cooperation project with the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) had been established to facilitate clustering.

61. A puzzling case was that of EPZs, which had generally been created expressly to attract FDI in export-oriented industries. They had often proved to be a constraint on the development of linkages. For example, in Malaysia, EPZ-specific incentives had restricted interaction between companies in the EPZ and the rest of the economy, with the result that it was decided to abolish the EPZ modality so as to better benefit from multiplier effects related to FDI. In China, the Special Economic Zones had successfully created a host of linkages with local firms, but the Government was now reconsidering the efficiency of local suppliers.

62. Certain industries such as electronics might not, a priori, lend themselves easily to the establishment of linkages. In such cases in particular, proactive government measures could facilitate their establishment, for example provision of information on existing suppliers and their capabilities or vendor development programmes; in addition, the Government could help upgrade industries. Furthermore, facilitating research and development centres, providing technical training material or influencing the prevailing mindset could have a favourable impact on linkages. In Malaysia, Intel had reportedly encouraged senior technical staff to set up their own enterprises and become suppliers. Although TNCs could contribute to supplier development, it was important that the Government play a proactive role, especially as regards the sharing of information about future demand.

63. Clusters of SMEs, often supported by public institutions, and of large domestic firms were another relevant modality which could empower developing countries to benefit from FDI and link it into the local economies. Moreover, clusters could be especially efficient in leveraging access to technology and to international markets. Government measures to facilitate the development of clusters of enterprises could therefore enhance the contribution of dynamic export-oriented FDI to the local economy. They called for a policy and strategy developed at the subnational level, where knowledge regarding local capacity and capability – "local platforms and local delivery" – was the most accurate and up to date.

64. Accordingly, an integrated approach to attract FDI in the targeted industries and to enhance the development of suppliers and linkages might well encompass cluster building. In

the case of the IPA for Wales, the Welsh Development Agency, this approach was adopted notably in order to attract and retain large investors such as Toyota, and the Agency was instrumental in setting up a Supplier Association comprising the TNC and local and foreign suppliers in the cluster.

65. Sweden and its "SOCware" programme, designed to build a cluster in the area of "Systems-on-Chips", provided another interesting example. The Swedish IPA had succeeded in attracting FDI into this sector by consulting all relevant players – companies, research institutes, and national as well as regional agencies. The consultation process, combined with feasibility studies, had served to identify potential shortcomings and pitfalls – in this case, a dearth of appropriately trained software engineers. In response, the Government, in coordination with other actors, had adopted specific policy measures for skills development.

66. Another example of a predominant role played by clusters of SMEs was in Italy. A significant portion of exports was generated by a group of numerous (non-diversified) SMEs which each served one or two foreign markets. These clusters had well-established linkages with each other and accounted for large shares of the country's exports, and they were high performers in the world market for a range of non-dynamic export goods (e.g. leather, tiles, jewellery, textiles and furniture). This suggested that export growth in the high-technology manufacturing sector was not necessarily the only indicator of a country's export competitiveness. Moreover, FDI inflows into Italy were relatively low, but were emerging in the non-dynamic export-oriented clusters.

67. In sum, the Meeting noted that clusters, drawing together local as well as foreign firms, were feasible and desirable as a means of promoting backward linkages. However, not all clusters would automatically be attractive to FDI and ensure that they were embedded in the local economy. The challenge was to build and mobilize local capacity corresponding to the needs of targeted foreign investors. In this respect, policies continued to play a crucial role in ensuring that the right conditions prevailed for attracting and benefiting from FDI in a manner that promoted growth and development.

Chapter III

ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

A. Convening of the Expert Meeting

68. The Expert Meeting on the Impact of FDI Policies on Industrialization, Local Entrepreneurship and the Development of Supply Capacity was held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva, from 5 to 7 November 2001.

B. Election of officers

(Agenda item 1)

69. At its opening meeting, the Expert Meeting elected the following officers to serve on its bureau:

Chairperson: Ms. Maggie Kigosi (Uganda)

Vice-Chairperson-cum-Rapporteur: Mr. Lelio Iapadre (Italy)

C. Adoption of the agenda and organization of work

(Agenda item 2)

70. At the same meeting, the Expert Meeting adopted the provisional agenda circulated in document TD/B/COM.2/EM.10/1. The agenda for the Meeting was thus as follows:

1. Election of officers
2. Adoption of the agenda and organization of work
3. The impact of FDI policies on industrialization, local entrepreneurship and the development of supply capacity of developing countries, in particular the least developed countries
4. Adoption of the outcome of the Meeting

D. Documentation

71. For its consideration of the substantive agenda item, the Expert Meeting had before it a note by the UNCTAD secretariat entitled "The impact of FDI policies on industrialization, local entrepreneurship and the development of supply capacity: Policy issues to consider" (TD/B/COM.2/EM.10/2).

E. Adoption of the outcome of the Meeting

(Agenda item 4)

72. At its closing meeting, the Expert Meeting authorized the Rapporteur to prepare the final report of the Meeting under the authority of the Chairperson.

Annex

ATTENDANCE *

1. Experts from the following States members of UNCTAD attended the Meeting:

Angola	Lebanon
Bangladesh	Madagascar
Belarus	Mexico
Belgium	Morocco
Benin	Nepal
Burkina Faso	Niger
Burundi	Nigeria
Cambodia	Pakistan
Cameroon	Panama
China	Peru
Colombia	Philippines
Congo	Russian Federation
Costa Rica	Rwanda
Côte d'Ivoire	Saint Kitts and Nevis
Czech Republic	Saudi Arabia
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	Swaziland
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sweden
Dominican Republic	Syrian Arab Republic
Ecuador	Thailand
Egypt	Togo
Ethiopia	Tunisia
Finland	Uganda
France	United Republic of Tanzania
Guinea-Bissau	United States of America
Honduras	Venezuela
Indonesia	Viet Nam
Italy	Yemen
Japan	Zambia
Kenya	

* For the list of participants, see TD/B/COM.2/EM.10/INF.1.

2. The following intergovernmental organizations were represented at the Meeting:

Arab Labour Organization
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
Organization of the Islamic Conference

3. The following specialized agencies and related organization were represented at the Meeting:

International Labour Organization
International Monetary Fund
International Telecommunication Union
United Nations Industrial Development Organization
World Trade Organization

4. The United Nations Development Programme was represented at the Meeting.

5. The following non-governmental organizations were represented at the Meeting:

General Category

Exchange and Cooperation Centre for Latin America
International Federation of Business and Professional Women
Organization of African Trade Union Unity

6. The following panellists attended the Meeting:

Mr. Enrique J. Egloff, President, Cradvisory.com, Costa Rica
Mr. Carlos Garcia Fernandez, Director-General, Foreign Investment, Ministry for the Economy, Mexico
Mr. J. Jegathesan, Former Deputy Director, MIDA, Malaysia
Mr. John A. Mathews, Professor, Macquarie Graduate School of Management, Macquarie University, Australia
Ms. Olga Memedovic, Industrial Policies and Research Branch, UNIDO
Mr. Jean-Michel Pasteels, Market Analyst, International Trade Centre, Geneva
Mr. Richard Pfaffstaller, Vice President, Strategic Infrastructure Development, Flextronics Central Europe, Austria
Mr. Clive Vokes, Clive Vokes Associates, United Kingdom